

UNITED STATES PATENT AND TRADEMARK OFFICE

UNITED STATES DEPARTMENT OF COMMERCE United States Patent and Trademark Office Address: COMMISSIONER FOR PATENTS P.O. Box 1450 Alexandria, Virginia 22313-1450 www.uspto.gov

APPLICATION NO.	FILING DATE	FIRST NAMED INVENTOR	ATTORNEY DOCKET NO.	CONFIRMATION NO.	
10/700,178	11/03/2003	Cristina Manu	03797.00622	3590	
28319	28319 7590 02/15/2005		EXAMINER		
BANNER & WITCOFF LTD., ATTORNEYS FOR MICROSOFT 1001 G STREET, N.W.			TSAI, CAROL S W		
			ART UNIT	PAPER NUMBER	
ELEVENTH S		2857			
WASHINGIC	N, DC 20001-4597		DATE MAILED: 02/15/2009	DATE MAILED: 02/15/2005	

Please find below and/or attached an Office communication concerning this application or proceeding.

		Application	No.	Applicant(s)				
Office Action Summan		10/700,178		MANU ET AL.				
	Office Action Summary	Examiner		Art Unit				
	TI MAN DIO DATE AN	Carol S. Tsa		2857				
The MAILING DATE of this communication appears on the cover sheet with the correspondence address Period for Reply								
THE - Exte after - If the - If NO - Failu Any	ORTENED STATUTORY PERIOD FOR REPLY MAILING DATE OF THIS COMMUNICATION. nsions of time may be available under the provisions of 37 CFR 1.13 SIX (6) MONTHS from the mailing date of this communication. It is period for reply specified above is less than thirty (30) days, a reply of period for reply is specified above, the maximum statutory period of the torough within the set or extended period for reply will, by statute reply received by the Office later than three months after the mailing ed patent term adjustment. See 37 CFR 1.704(b).	136(a). In no event, by within the statutor will apply and will e e, cause the applica	however, may a reply be tim y minimum of thirty (30) days xpire SIX (6) MONTHS from t tion to become ABANDONED	ely filed will be considered timel he mailing date of this c 0 (35 U.S.C. § 133).				
Status								
	<u> </u>							
Disposition of Claims								
5)□ 6)⊠ 7)□	4) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are pending in the application. 4a) Of the above claim(s) is/are withdrawn from consideration. 5) ☐ Claim(s) is/are allowed. 6) ☐ Claim(s) 1-17 is/are rejected. 7) ☐ Claim(s) is/are objected to. 8) ☐ Claim(s) are subject to restriction and/or election requirement.							
Applicati	ion Papers							
10)⊠	The specification is objected to by the Examine The drawing(s) filed on <u>03 November 2003</u> is/a Applicant may not request that any objection to the Replacement drawing sheet(s) including the correct The oath or declaration is objected to by the Ex	are: a)□ acc drawing(s) be tion is required	neld in abeyance. See if the drawing(s) is obj	37 CFR 1.85(a). ected to. See 37 Cl	FR 1.121(d).			
Priority (ınder 35 U.S.C. § 119							
12) Acknowledgment is made of a claim for foreign priority under 35 U.S.C. § 119(a)-(d) or (f). a) All b) Some * c) None of: 1. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received. 2. Certified copies of the priority documents have been received in Application No 3. Copies of the certified copies of the priority documents have been received in this National Stage application from the International Bureau (PCT Rule 17.2(a)). * See the attached detailed Office action for a list of the certified copies not received.								
Attachmen	t(s)							
2) Notic 3) Infor	e of References Cited (PTO-892) se of Draftsperson's Patent Drawing Review (PTO-948) mation Disclosure Statement(s) (PTO-1449 or PTO/SB/08) r No(s)/Mail Date		Interview Summary (Paper No(s)/Mail Da Notice of Informal Pa	te	O-152)			

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178

Art Unit: 2857

DETAILED ACTION

Drawings

1. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they do not include the following reference sign(s) mentioned in the description:

"202" at page 6, paragraph 20, line 3.

"700" at page 8, paragraph 29, line 1.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

2. The drawings are objected to as failing to comply with 37 CFR 1.84(p)(5) because they include the following reference character(s) not mentioned in the description:

"212" in Fig. 2.

Corrected drawing sheets in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(d), or amendment to the specification to add the reference character(s) in the description in compliance with 37 CFR 1.121(b) are required in reply to the Office action to avoid abandonment of the application. Any amended replacement drawing sheet should include all of the figures

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178-

Art Unit: 2857

appearing on the immediate prior version of the sheet, even if only one figure is being amended. Each drawing sheet submitted after the filing date of an application must be labeled in the top margin as either "Replacement Sheet" or "New Sheet" pursuant to 37 CFR 1.121(d). If the changes are not accepted by the examiner, the applicant will be notified and informed of any required corrective action in the next Office action. The objection to the drawings will not be held in abeyance.

Specification

3. The disclosure is objected to under 37 CFR 1.71, as being so incomprehensible as to preclude a reasonable search of the prior art by the examiner. For example, the following items are not understood:

"Probability curve 302 suggests that parameter values of 2, 4 and 6 are of high interest and the parameter value of 5 is of relatively low interest" described at page 6, paragraph 22, line 4-5 is not understandable. It is not clear to the Examiner what is meant by "parameter value of 2, 4, and 6"because Applicant did not provide a clear and specific definition in Specification disclosed for the Examiner to understand what is meant by "parameter" in applicants' claimed invention.

"User interface 700 includes a first region 702 that displays an execution matrix of parameter combinations. An input icon 704 is displayed in a second region. A software module 706 may be represented in another region. A user may test a particular parameter value combination by selecting that combination from the execution matrix and dragging that combination to input icon 704. After the software module has operated on the parameter value combination, the results of the operation may be displayed in an output

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178

Art Unit: 2857

region 708." described at pages 8-9, paragraph 29, lines 2-8 is understandable. It is not clear to the Examiner how a particular parameter value combination can be tested after selecting that combination from the execution matrix and dragging that combination to input icon 704.

Applicant is required to submit an amendment which clarifies the disclosure so that the examiner can understand the invention and may make a proper comparison of the invention with the prior art.

Applicant should be careful not to introduce any new matter into the disclosure (i.e., matter which is not supported by the disclosure as originally filed).

A shortened statutory period for reply to this action is set to expire ONE MONTH or THIRTY DAYS, whichever is longer, from the mailing date of this letter.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 101

4. 35 U.S.C. 101 reads as follows:

Whoever invents or discovers any new and useful process, machine, manufacture, or composition of matter, or any new and useful improvement thereof, may obtain a patent therefor, subject to the conditions and requirements of this title.

Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 101 because the claimed invention is directed to non-statutory subject matter.

The claims 1-17 recite no clearly defined practical application of the claimed method or do not draw a conclusion as to the final end result of testing a software module with parameter combinations. Additionally, the method claims do not fall into either of the "safe harbors" defined in the Guidelines for Computer-Implemented Inventions in that there is no manipulation of measured data representing physical objects or activities

Application/Control Number: 10/700,178

Art Unit: 2857

to achieve a practical application (pre-computer process activity) or the performance of independent physical acts (post-computer process activity). The examiner submits that the claimed process merely solves a model mathematical problem without limitation to a practical application.

Claims 1-17 recites signal analysis that is not tied to any physical structure for converting the probability curves into probability function, combining the probability functions into a combination function, selecting parameter value combinations, and receiving an indication from a user. The Examiner submits that the claimed method consists solely of the manipulation of an abstract idea is not concrete or tangible.

Claim Rejections - 35 USC § 112

- 5. The following is a quotation of the first paragraph of 35 U.S.C. 112:
 - The specification shall contain a written description of the invention, and of the manner and process of making and using it, in such full, clear, concise, and exact terms as to enable any person skilled in the art to which it pertains, or with which it is most nearly connected, to make and use the same and shall set forth the best mode contemplated by the inventor of carrying out his invention.
- 6. Claims 1-17 are rejected under 35 U.S.C. 112, first paragraph, as failing to comply with the written description requirement. The claim(s) contains subject matter which was not described in the specification in such a way as to reasonably convey to one skilled in the relevant art that the inventor(s), at the time the application was filed, had possession of the claimed invention.

In claims, 1, 14, and 16, it is not understandable what is meant by "parameter" since there is no clear and specific definition provided in Applicants' disclosure.

Art Unit: 2857

In claim 16, it is not understandable what is meant by "receiving an indication from a user", since there is no clear and specific indication disclosed in Applicants' Specification.

Contact Information

7. Any inquiry concerning this communication or earlier communications from the examiner should be directed to Carol S. W. Tsai whose telephone number is (571) 272-2224. The examiner can normally be reached on Monday-Friday from 8:30 AM to 5:00 PM. If attempts to reach the examiner by telephone are unsuccessful, the examiner's supervisor, Marc S. Hoff can be reached on (571) 272-2216. The fax number for TC 2800 is (703) 872-9306. Any inquiry of a general nature or relating to the status of this application or proceeding should be directed to the TC 2800 receptionist whose telephone number is (571) 272-1585 or (571) 272-2800.

In order to reduce pendency and avoid potential delays, Group 2800 is encouraging FAXing of responses to Office actions directly into the Group at (703) 872-9306. This practice may be used for filing papers not requiring a fee. It may also be used for filing papers which require a fee by applicants who authorize charges to a PTO deposit account. Please identify the examiner and art unit at the top of your cover sheet. Papers submitted via FAX into Group 2800 will be promptly forwarded to the examiner.

Carol S. W. Tsai Patent Examiner Art Unit 2857

alifill 3

02/03/05